arXiv:hep-th/9807111v2 30 Jul 1998

KEK Preprint 98-99
OU-HET 297
hep-th/9807111

More on the Similarity between $D = 5$ Simple Supergravity and M Theory

Shun'ya Mizoguchi[1]

Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies
High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, KEK
Tanashi, Tokyo 188-8501, Japan

and

Nobuyoshi Ohta[2]

Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract

It has been known that $D = 5$ simple supergravity resembles $D = 11$ supergravity in many respects. We present their further resemblances in (1) the duality groups upon dimensional reduction, and (2) the worldsheet structure of the solitonic string of the $D = 5$ supergravity. We show that the $D = 3$, $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ (bosonic) nonlinear sigma model is obtained by using Freudenthal's construction in parallel to the derivation of the $D = 3$, $E_{8(+8)}/SO(16)$ sigma model from $D = 11$ supergravity. The zero modes of the string solution with unbroken (4,0) supersymmetry consist of three (non-chiral) scalars, four Majorana-Weyl spinors of the same chirality and one chiral scalar, which suggests a duality to a certain six-dimensional chiral string theory. The worldsheet gravitational anomaly indicates a quantum correction to the Bianchi identity for the dualized two-form gauge field in the bulk just like the M5-brane case.

PACS : 04.65+e, 02.20.Sv, 11.27.+d
Keywords : Supergravity, Duality, String theory

[1]mizoguch@tanashi.kek.jp
[2]ohta@phys.wani.osaka-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

It has been known for a long time that $D = 5$ simple supergravity resembles $D = 11$ supergravity [1, 2]. The name of "simple" supergravity is given because it contains a possible minimal set of fields (a single $N = 2$ gravity multiplet). It consists of one graviton, two $N = 2$ symplectic-Majorana gravitini which are equivalent to a single Dirac gravitino, and one $U(1)$ gauge field which replaces the three-form gauge field in $D = 11$ supergravity [3]. The Lagrangians are exactly in the same form [2] except for the difference in the number of indices of the gauge fields. It is also known that the dimensional reduction to $D = 4$ [4] can be carried out in a similar way.

In this paper we will present their further resemblances in two different aspects. In the first part we study the dimensional reduction to three dimensions and show that the $D = 3$, $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ (bosonic) nonlinear sigma model can be obtained by using Freudenthal's construction of the exceptional Lie algebras. The derivation is completely parallel to that of the $D = 3$, $E_{8(+8)}/SO(16)$ sigma model from $D = 11$ supergravity [5]. Although the appearance of $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ in this reduction is already known by the r- and c-maps ($G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ is the image of the empty space as a very special real manifold. See [6] for a review.), the explicit construction makes the similarity of the two supergravity theories transparent. In particular, Freudenthal's construction reveals how similar the structures of $E_{8(+8)}/SO(16)$ and $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ are.

In the second part we investigate the worldsheet structure of the magnetically charged BPS solitonic string of the $D = 5$ supergravity. As we shall see, this string has a number of properties which are similar to those of M5-brane. For example, unbroken worldsheet supersymmetry is chiral, and it also has a chiral bosonic massless excitation which may be viewed as an analogue of the self-dual two-form on M5-brane. Two is again the number of world-volume dimensions where a gravitational anomaly may exist, and indeed it does. This (tangent-bundle) anomaly in turn indicates a quantum correction to the Bianchi identity for the dualized two-form gauge field in the bulk just like the M5-brane case [7, 8]. We will not discuss possible normal-bundle anomaly [9, 10] in this paper.

Of course, $D = 5$ simple supergravity can be realized as a Calabi-Yau compactification of $D = 11$ supergravity [11, 12] with $h_{11} = 1$, together with the truncation of scalar multiplets. (This truncation is necessary since there arises at least one scalar multiplet for any Calabi-Yau compactification.) Therefore, its properties can be explained in terms of the $D = 11$ theory. For example, the string in the $D = 5$ supergravity can be viewed as M5-brane wrapped around the unique 4-cycle of this Calabi-Yau, while the 0-brane dual

to the string is M2-brane wrapped around the unique 2-cycle dual to the 4-cycle. We should emphasize here that our aim is not to investigate the properties of this particular kind of supergravity itself. Rather, we would like to convince the reader that this $D = 5$ model may afford a nice testing ground for various ideas in $D = 11$ supergravity and M theory, hoping that we could get an insight into the unsolved interesting problems of these theories in a simpler setting.

2 Dimensional reduction and nonlinear sigma models

2.1 Reduction to $D = 4$

We first briefly review the dimensional reduction of simple $D = 5$ supergravity to $D = 4$ [2]. We present it in such a way that the $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ symmetry may look similar to the $E_{7(+7)}$ symmetry of $N = 8$ supergravity. We also identify the discrete quantum duality group [13].

Retaining only the bosonic degrees of freedom, the Lagrangian of simple $D = 5$ supergravity is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = E^{(5)}(R^{(5)} - \frac{1}{4}F_{MN}F^{MN}) - \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{MNPQR}F_{MN}F_{PQ}A_R, \tag{1}$$

where $F_{MN} = 2\partial_{[M}A_{N]}$. We take the signature $(-++++)$. Dropping the fifth-coordinate dependence, we get the four-dimensional Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= E^{(4)}\left(R^{(4)} - \frac{3}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\ln\rho\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\ln\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho^{-2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}A\partial^{\hat{\mu}}A - \frac{1}{4}\rho^3 B_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}B^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\right. \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{4}\rho F^{(4)}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}F^{(4)\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}E^{(4)-1}\epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}F_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}F_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}A\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the fünfbein and the vector field are parameterized as

$$E^{(5)A}_{\ \ M} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}E^{(4)\hat{\alpha}}_{\ \ \hat{\mu}} & \rho B_{\hat{\mu}} \\ 0 & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \ A_M = [A_{\hat{\mu}}, A]. \tag{3}$$

$\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}, \ldots$ and $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \ldots$ are four-dimensional curved and flat indices, respectively. $F^{(4)}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ is defined by $F^{(4)}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \equiv F'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + B_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}A$, where $F'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 2\partial_{[\hat{\mu}}A'_{\hat{\nu}]}$ is the field strength of the Kaluza-Klein invariant vector field $A'_{\hat{\mu}} = A_{\hat{\mu}} - B_{\hat{\mu}}A$, and $B_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 2\partial_{[\hat{\mu}}B_{\hat{\nu}]}$. We dualize $A'_{\hat{\mu}}$ field by adding

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lag.mult.}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}\tilde{A}_{\hat{\sigma}}\partial_{\hat{\rho}}F'_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \tag{4}$$

to $\mathcal{L}$. Up to an irrelevant perfect square one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lag.mult.}} &= E^{(4)}R^{(4)}+\mathcal{L}_S+\mathcal{L}_V,\\ \mathcal{L}_S &= -E^{(4)}(\frac{3}{2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\ln\rho\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\ln\rho+\frac{1}{2}\rho^{-2}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}A\partial^{\hat{\mu}}A),\\ \mathcal{L}_V &= -\frac{1}{4}E^{(4)}\mathcal{G}^T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}N^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}},\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}=\begin{bmatrix}\tilde{A}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\\ B_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\end{bmatrix}$, $\tilde{A}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}=2\partial_{[\hat{\mu}}\tilde{A}_{\hat{\nu}]}$. $N^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}$ is a two-by-two matrix and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}N^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}} &= m\ \mathbf{1}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}+a\ (\star)^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}},\\ V^{-1}mV^{-1} &= K-\frac{1}{2}(\Phi\Phi^*K+K\Phi^*\Phi)+\frac{1}{4}\Phi\Phi^{*2}K\Phi,\\ V^{-1}aV^{-1} &= -\Phi^*K-\Phi+\frac{1}{2}(\Phi\Phi^{*2}K+K\Phi^{*2}\Phi)+\frac{1}{3}\Phi\Phi^*\Phi-\frac{1}{4}\Phi\Phi^{*3}K\Phi,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{1}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}=\frac{1}{2}(G^{(4)\hat{\mu}\hat{\rho}}G^{(4)\hat{\nu}\hat{\sigma}}-G^{(4)\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}G^{(4)\hat{\mu}\hat{\sigma}})$, $(\star)^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}=\frac{1}{2}E^{(4)-1}\epsilon^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\hat{\sigma}}$, $V=\begin{bmatrix}\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \rho^{\frac{3}{2}}\end{bmatrix}$, $\Phi=\begin{bmatrix}0 & \sqrt{3}\phi\\ \sqrt{3}\phi & 0\end{bmatrix}$, $\Phi^*=\begin{bmatrix}2\phi & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}$, $K=(1+\Phi^{*2})^{-1}$ and $\phi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\rho^{-1}A$.

To see the duality symmetry we define $\mathcal{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ by the equation

$$\mathcal{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}=m(\star\mathcal{G})_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}-a\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}. \tag{7}$$

It can be shown that $\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$ are related by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\equiv\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\\ \mathcal{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\end{bmatrix}=\Omega\mathcal{V}^{(4)T}\mathcal{V}^{(4)}\begin{bmatrix}\star\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\\ \star\mathcal{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}\end{bmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where Ω and $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}$ are four-by-four matrices defined by $\Omega=\begin{bmatrix}&-\mathbf{1}\\ \mathbf{1}&\end{bmatrix}$, $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}=\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_-\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_+$, $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_-=\exp\begin{bmatrix}&-\Phi^*\\ -\Phi&\end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_+=\begin{bmatrix}V&\\ &V^{-1}\end{bmatrix}$. Writing [1]

$$\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_-=P^{-1}\exp(-\phi E)P,\quad \mathcal{V}^{(4)}_+=P^{-1}\exp(\ln\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}H)P,$$

$$P=\begin{bmatrix}0&0&0&1\\ 1&0&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&1&0&0\end{bmatrix}=(P^{-1})^T,\quad E=\begin{bmatrix}0&\sqrt{3}&&\\ &0&2&\\ &&0&\sqrt{3}\\ &&&0\end{bmatrix},\quad H=\begin{bmatrix}3&&&\\ &1&&\\ &&-1&\\ &&&-3\end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

[1]Note that $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}=\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_+\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_-$, where $\mathcal{V}^{(4)c}_-=\mathcal{V}^{(4)-1}_+\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_-\mathcal{V}^{(4)}_+=P^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}AE)P$.

it is clear that ϕ and $\ln\rho$ parameterize $SL(2,\mathbf{R})/SO(2)$. Note that H, E and $F \equiv E^T$ are the **4** representation matrices of the Chevalley generators of the Lie algebra of $SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ embedded in $Sp(4)$ (defined with respect to $P\Omega P^{-1}$). Denoting $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{V}^{(4)T}\mathcal{V}^{(4)}$, one may write

$$\mathcal{L}_V + \mathcal{L}_S = E^{(4)}\left(\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}^T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \star \mathcal{H}^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} + \frac{3}{40}\mathrm{Tr}\partial_{\hat{\mu}}\mathcal{R}^{-1}\partial^{\hat{\mu}}\mathcal{R}\right). \tag{10}$$

The field equations are invariant under a rigid transformation $\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \to \Lambda^{-1}\mathcal{F}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}$, $\mathcal{R} \to \Lambda^T\mathcal{R}\Lambda$ for $\Lambda \in SL(2,\mathbf{R})$. The transformation of $\mathcal{V}^{(4)}$ must be accompanied by a compensating local $SO(2)$ transformation $\mathcal{V}^{(4)} \to h(x)\mathcal{V}^{(4)}\Lambda$, $h(x) \in \{P^{-1}\exp(t(E-F))P \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}$, as usual, so as to restore the parameterization of the coset space.

Typically, such a continuous symmetry of a classical supergravity is broken at the quantum level to a discrete subgroup due to the charge quantization condition. In our case the classical duality group $SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ is broken to the intersection $SL(2,\mathbf{R})\cap Sp(4,\mathbf{Z})$, where the latter is defined as a discrete subgroup of $Sp(4)$ that preserves the charge lattice and must be represented by matrices with integer entries in some basis. To identify this we change the charge normalization as $\mathcal{F}' = U\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{V}^{(4)\prime} = U\mathcal{V}^{(4)}U^{-1}$, etc. by the constant matrix $U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \sqrt{3} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$ (so that the local $SO(2)$ is now defined as the group of matrices that preserves the 'metric' U^{-2}) [2]. Then the generators of the Lie algebra of $SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ are transformed into $E' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & & \\ & 0 & 2 & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & 2 & 0 & \\ & & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $H' = H$. In this basis $SL(2,\mathbf{Z}) \equiv SL(2,\mathbf{R}) \cap Sp(4,\mathbf{Z})$ is realized as matrices with integer entries. The whole duality group is generated by the modular group generators $P^{-1}SP$ and $P^{-1}TP$, where

$$S = \exp(-F')\exp E'\exp(-F') = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \exp E' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

Note that on the scalar $Z \equiv -\phi + i\rho$ this definition of $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ induces the familiar modular transformations $Z \to Z+1$ and $Z \to -1/Z$ under T and S, respectively.

[2] The normalization of $\tilde{A}_{\hat{\sigma}}$ is so chosen that the matrix Φ becomes symmetric, and therefore the involutive automorphism is obtained by simply transposing the inverse. If we had taken $\sqrt{3}/2$ instead of $1/2$ as the factor in (4), we could have directly derived E,F and H with integer entries, but as the price the involutive automorphism then becomes less trivial.

2.2 Reduction to $D = 3$

We will now turn to the reduction to $D = 3$. Splitting the curved indices $M, N, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 4$ into $\mu, \nu, \ldots = 0, 1, 2$ and $i, j, \ldots = 3, 4$ and ignoring the dependence of the latter coordinates, the original Lagrangian (1) is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= E^{(3)}\Big(R^{(3)} - \partial_\mu \ln e \partial^\mu \ln e + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu g_{ij}\partial^\mu g^{ij} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}e^{-2}g_{ij}B^i_{\mu\nu}B^{j\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}e^2 F^{(3)}_{\mu\nu}F^{(3)\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_\mu A_i \partial^\mu A_j \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}E^{(3)-1}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\epsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho A_i \cdot A_j\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the fünfbein and the vector field are decomposed as

$$E^{(5)A}_{\ \ M} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-1}E^{(3)\alpha}_{\ \ \mu} & B^i_\mu e_i{}^a \\ 0 & e_i{}^a \end{bmatrix}, \ A_M = [A_\mu, A_i]. \tag{13}$$

The flat Lorentz indices $A, B, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 4$ are split into $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 0, 1, 2$ and $a, b, \ldots = 3, 4$. In eq. (12) (as well as in eq. (15) below), $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ are raised by the metric $G^{(3)\mu\nu} \equiv E^{(3)\mu}_{\ \ \alpha}E^{(3)\nu}_{\ \ \beta}\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{diag}[-1, +1, +1]$; $E^{(5)}$, $E^{(3)}$ and e are the determinants of $E^{(5)A}_{\ \ M}$, $E^{(3)\alpha}_{\ \ \mu}$ and $e_i{}^a$, respectively; $B^i_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}B^i_{\nu]}$ is the Kaluza-Klein gauge field strength. As in $D = 4$ case, $F^{(3)\mu\nu}$ is defined by the equation $F^{(3)}_{\mu\nu} \equiv F'_{(D=3)\mu\nu} + B^i_{\mu\nu}A_i$, where $F'_{(D=3)\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the Kaluza-Klein invariant vector field $A'_{(D=3)\mu} = A_\mu - B^i_\mu A_i$.

These three-dimensional vector fields are dualized by introducing the Lagrange multiplier fields

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lag.mult.}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}(\varphi\partial_\mu F'_{(D=3)\nu\rho} + \psi_i\partial_\mu B^i_{\nu\rho}). \tag{14}$$

Up to irrelevant perfect squares of $A'_{(D=3)\mu\nu}$ and $B^i_{\mu\nu}$, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Lag.mult.}} &= E^{(3)}\Big(R^{(3)} - \partial_\mu \ln e \partial^\mu \ln e + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu g_{ij}\partial^\mu g^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial_\mu A_i \partial^\mu A_j \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2}(\partial_\mu\varphi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{ij}A_i\partial_\mu A_j)(\partial^\mu\varphi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{kl}A_k\partial^\mu A_l) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2}g^{ij}(\partial_\mu\psi_i + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi\partial_\mu A_i - A_i\partial_\mu\varphi) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{k_1 l_1}A_i A_{k_1}\partial_\mu A_{l_1}) \\ &\quad \cdot (\partial^\mu\psi_j + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi\partial^\mu A_j - A_j\partial^\mu\varphi) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{k_2 l_2}A_j A_{k_2}\partial^\mu A_{l_2})\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let us now show how the $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ nonlinear sigma model is made up of these scalar fields. The adjoint representation of G_2 is decomposed into the direct sum of representations of its subalgebra $SL(3)$ as $\mathbf{14} = \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}$. Let V and V^* be the

representation spaces of the **3** and $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$. It is known [14] that if we define the Lie brackets among ${X_{i'}}^{j'}, {Y_{i'}}^{j'} \in SL(3,\mathbf{C})$, $v_{i'}, w_{i'} \in V$ and $v^{*i'}, w^{*i'} \in V^*$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[X,\ \ Y]_{i'}{}^{j'} = {X_{i'}}^{k'}{Y_{k'}}^{j'} - {X_{k'}}^{j'}{Y_{i'}}^{k'},\\
&[X,\ \ v]_{i'} = {X_{i'}}^{l'}v_{l'},\\
&[X,\ \ v^*]^{i'} = -{X_{l'}}^{i'}v^{*l'},\\
&[v,\ \ w]^{i'} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{i'j'k'}v_{j'}w_{k'},\\
&[v^*,\ w^*]_{i'} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_{i'j'k'}v^{*j'}w^{*k'},\\
&[v,\ \ w^*]_{i'}{}^{j'} = -(v_{i'}w^{*j'} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{i'}^{j'}v_{k'}w^{*k'}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

then they realize the Lie algebra G_2. If the relations (16) are regarded as those for a real Lie algebra, they generate $G_{2(+2)}$.

Any element of the Lie algebra $G_{2(+2)}$ can be specified by a triple ${Y_{i'}}^{k'} \in SL(3,\mathbf{R})$, $w_{i'} \in V \simeq \mathbf{R^3}$, $w^{*i'} \in V^* \simeq \mathbf{R^3}$. We write out the adjoint representation matrix

$$
\mathrm{ad}[Y,w,w^*] \equiv \begin{bmatrix}
{Y_{i'}}^{k'}\delta_{l'}^{j'} - {Y_{l'}}^{j'}\delta_{i'}^{k'} & w^{*j'}\delta_{i'}^{k'} - \frac{1}{3}w^{*k'}\delta_{i'}^{j'} & -(w_{i'}\delta_{k'}^{j'} - \frac{1}{3}w_{k'}\delta_{i'}^{j'}) \\
-(w_{l'}\delta_{i'}^{k'} - \frac{1}{3}w_{i'}\delta_{l'}^{k'}) & {Y_{i'}}^{k'} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon_{i'j'k'}w^{*j'} \\
w^{*k'}\delta_{l'}^{i'} - \frac{1}{3}w^{*i'}\delta_{l'}^{k'} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{i'j'k'}w_{j'} & -{Y_{k'}}^{i'}
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{17}
$$

where the indices are so assigned that this matrix maps a triple $[{Y_{k'}}^{l'}, w_{k'}, w^{*k'}]$ to $[{Y_{i'}}^{j'}, w_{i'}, w^{*i'}]$. In this notation the Chevalley generators are given by $h_1 = \mathrm{ad}[H_1,0,0]$, $e_1 = \mathrm{ad}[E_1,0,0]$, $f_1 = \mathrm{ad}[F_1,0,0]$, $h_2 = \mathrm{ad}[-H_1+H_2,0,0]$, $e_2 = \mathrm{ad}[0,\sqrt{3}n_2,0]$, $f_2 = \mathrm{ad}[0,0,-\sqrt{3}n_2]$, with $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $n_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, while the $SO(4)$ subalgebra is spanned by the elements in the form $\mathrm{ad}[U,u,u]$, $U^{\mathrm{T}} = -U$.

To identify the physical fields we define $A_{i'} \equiv \delta_{i'}^{i}A_i$, $\varphi^{i'} \equiv \delta_3^{i'}\varphi$ and $\mathcal{V}_- \equiv \exp(-\mathrm{ad}[0,A,\varphi])$. Then one obtains $\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_- \cdot \mathcal{V}_-^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}[Y,w,w^*]$, where Y, w, w^* are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{Y_{i'}}^{l'} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-A_{i'}\partial_\mu\varphi^{l'} + \varphi^{l'}\partial_\mu A_{i'}\right) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{j'k'l'}A_{i'}A_{j'}\partial_\mu A_{k'},\\
w_{i'} &= -\partial_\mu A_{i'},
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

$$w^{*i'} = -\partial_\mu \varphi^{i'} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{i'j'k'} A_{j'} \partial_\mu A_{k'}.$$

We now consider the product $\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_-$ with

$$\mathcal{V}_+ = \begin{bmatrix} V_{a'}{}^{i'} V^{b'}{}_{j'} & & \\ & V_{a'}{}^{i'} & \\ & & V^{a'}{}_{i'} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad V_{i'}^{a'} = \begin{bmatrix} e_i{}^a & -e^{-1}\psi_i \\ 0 & e^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Using the equation $\partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{-1} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_+ \cdot \mathcal{V}_+^{-1} + \mathcal{V}_+ (\partial_\mu \mathcal{V}_- \cdot \mathcal{V}_-^{-1}) \mathcal{V}_+^{-1}$, one finds $\partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{-1} = \mathrm{ad}[Z, z, z^*]$, where

$$Z_{a'}{}^{c'} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_\mu e_a{}^i \cdot e_i{}^c & e^{-1} e_a{}^i (\partial_\mu \psi_i + Y_i{}^3) \\ 0 & e^{-1} \partial_\mu e \end{bmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

$$Y_i{}^3 = \frac{1}{2}(-A_i \partial_\mu \varphi + \varphi \partial_\mu A_i) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{jk} A_i A_j \partial_\mu A_k, \tag{21}$$

$$z_{a'} = -\delta^a_{a'} e_a{}^i \partial_\mu A_i, \tag{22}$$

$$z^{*a'} = \delta_3^{a'} e^{-1} (-\partial_\mu \varphi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \epsilon^{jk} A_j \partial_\mu A_k). \tag{23}$$

We introduce here the involutive automorphism τ such that $\tau(\mathbf{H}) = +\mathbf{H}$, $\tau(\mathbf{K}) = -\mathbf{K}$ for $G_{2(+2)} = \mathbf{H}(= SO(4)) \oplus \mathbf{K}$. This implies

$$\tau(\mathrm{ad}[Z, z, z^*]) = \mathrm{ad}[-Z^T, z^*, z]. \tag{24}$$

Defining $\mathcal{M} \equiv \tau(\mathcal{V})^{-1} \mathcal{V}$ as usual [17, 18], one obtains the $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ nonlinear sigma-model Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} \partial_\mu \mathcal{M}^{-1} \partial^\mu \mathcal{M} &= -\mathrm{Tr} \left(\partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{-1} - \tau(\partial_\mu \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{-1}) \right)^2 \\ &= -8 \mathrm{Tr}(Z + Z^T)^2 - 16 (z - z^*)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Using the expressions (20) — (23), one finds that eq. (25) is precisely the sigma-model part of the dualized reduced Lagrangian (15) up to an overall constant factor 32. This completes the construction of the $G_{2(+2)}/SO(4)$ nonlinear sigma model from the dimensional reduction of the $D = 5$ supergravity Lagrangian.

3 Worldsheet structure of the solitonic string

In this section we study the properties of the magnetic BPS 1-brane solution of the $D = 5$ supergravity. The metric is given by

$$ds_5^2 = H^{-1}(-dt^2 + dy^2) + H^2(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2), \tag{26}$$

where r is the radial coordinate of the three-dimensional transverse space, $d\Omega_2$ is the area element of the unit sphere S^2, and H is a harmonic function $1 + Q/r$. The $U(1)$ gauge-field strength has the only non-vanishing components

$$F^{ij} = -\sqrt{3}\epsilon^{ijk}H^{-4}\partial_k H \tag{27}$$

for the transverse space indices i, j, k. The solution (26), (27) is a $D = 5$ analogue of M5-brane [15]; it is non-singular and interpolates [16] the two maximally supersymmetric vacua, namely five-dimensional Minkowski space and $AdS_3 \times S^2$. $D = 5$ supergravity also allows a dual electrically charged 0-brane solution which may be viewed as an analogue of M2-brane. According to the general rule, there is no intersecting solution [19, 20].

The vanishing condition of the supersymmetry transformation of gravitino gives the Killing spinor equation

$$D_M(\omega)\epsilon + \frac{i}{8\sqrt{3}}(\Gamma_M{}^{PQ} - 4\delta_M^P\Gamma^Q)F_{PQ}\epsilon = 0, \tag{28}$$

where $D_M(\omega)\epsilon = (\partial_M - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{MAB}\Gamma^{AB})\epsilon$ (since we changed the "mostly negative" metric adopted in ref.[2] to the "mostly positive" one). In the above soliton background, this equation is reduced to

$$(-\partial_a H \cdot \Gamma^{a0} - \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{abc}\partial_c H \cdot \Gamma_{0ab})\epsilon = 0. \tag{29}$$

Taking the gamma matrices as $\Gamma^0 = i\sigma_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}$, $\Gamma^1 = -\sigma_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\Gamma^a = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_{a-1}$ $(a = 2, 3, 4)$, the condition (29) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{a-1}\partial_a H \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\epsilon = 0. \tag{30}$$

If one decomposes the Dirac spinor ϵ as $\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_- \\ \epsilon_+ \end{bmatrix}$, eq. (30) shows that supersymmetry generated by ϵ_- is unbroken. Since the worldsheet chirality is defined by $\Gamma^0\Gamma^1 = -\sigma_3 \otimes \mathbf{1}$, $\epsilon_\pm$ have definite chirality. Thus two-dimensional (4,4) supersymmetry is spontaneously broken to (4,0) by the string.

Let us now find the zero modes of this solitonic string. The obvious ones are the three bosonic zero modes associated with the broken translational invariance along the transverse directions due to the presence of the string. There are also (0,4) fermionic zero modes coming from broken supersymmetry.

It is known that spontaneously, partially broken supersymmetry implies worldsheet supersymmetry [21], which in our case requires one more right-moving scalar to match

the numbers of bosons and fermions. The missing bosonic zero mode is a $D = 5$ analogue of the self-dual two-form of the M5-brane [22, 23]. To identify this, we consider a small fluctuation of the gauge field δA_M. It satisfies

$$\partial_M(E^{(5)}\delta F^{MN}) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{PQRMN}F_{PQ}\delta F_{RM} = 0, \tag{31}$$

where $\delta F_{MN} = 2\partial_{[M}\delta A_{N]}$. We solve this equation by assuming $\delta F_{MN} = -\delta F_{NM} = \partial_\sigma X \partial_i(H^2)$ for $M = \sigma$ and $N = i$ for some real scalar X which depends only on the worldsheet coordinates $\sigma(\equiv t, y)$, and $\delta F_{MN} = 0$ otherwise. In the background (26) and (27), the $N = i$ component of eq. (31) shows that X is a free field, and the $N = \sigma$ equation tells us that X must satisfy

$$-\eta^{\sigma\tau}\partial_\tau X + \epsilon^{\sigma\tau}\partial_\tau X = 0 \qquad (\sigma, \tau = t, y), \tag{32}$$

that is, X is a chiral boson. Thus we have found four bosonic and four fermionic zero modes in the right-moving sector, and three bosonic zero modes in the left-moving sector. They should be compared with the $(0, 2)$ tensor multiplet of the M5-brane.

It is a common feature in string/M theories that a fundamental object in one theory appears as a soliton in its dual theory [24, 25]. Therefore it would be interesting to explore the relation of this solitonic solution to a certain chiral string theory. The dimensions of the target space of this dual string will be six, since the four right-moving bosons are expected to arise as the fields in the static gauge [21, 24], in which extra two dimensions are supplied from the worldsheet coordinates.

The existence of chiral zero modes implies the non-conservation of the energy-momentum tensor on the string worldsheet [7]. Again as in the M5-brane case, it can be understood as the energy-momentum inflow from the bulk. For such a mechanism to work, the three-form gauge field of M theory requires a gravitational Chern-Simons coupling, which was indeed verified to exist [7, 8]. In our case the gravitational anomaly on the string worldsheet is canceled by the contribution from the bulk if the $U(1)$ gauge field has the coupling[3]

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} \propto A \wedge \mathrm{tr}R^2. \tag{33}$$

The anomaly polynomial [26] for the four Majorana-Weyl spinors is $4 \cdot (-\frac{1}{48}p_1) = \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{tr}R^2$, while the contribution from the chiral scalar is $1 \cdot (-\frac{1}{24}p_1) = \frac{1}{48}\mathrm{tr}R^2$, adding up to $\frac{1}{16}\mathrm{tr}R^2$. Thus the gravitational anomaly of the string worldsheet predicts the coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{32\pi q}A \wedge \mathrm{tr}R^2 \tag{34}$$

[3] This form of correction was discussed in the Calabi-Yau compactification of M theory [12].

in the bulk theory. Here q is magnetic charge per unit area of the string $q = 4\sqrt{3}\pi Q$. This term gives rise to a modification of the field equation for the $U(1)$ gauge field, or equivalently the Bianchi identity for the dualized two-form gauge field exactly in the same manner as the M theory case [7]. The charge q is proportional to the string tension. Since the dual object of this string is a 0-brane, one should be able to verify this prediction by a one-loop calculation in the original $D = 5$ supergravity theory (without any compactification!), thereby establishing the particle-string duality in five dimensions. Work along this line is in progress.

4 Concluding remarks

We have seen that $D = 5$ simple supergravity resembles $D = 11$ supergravity both in their group theoretical properties and in the structures of their classical solutions. In particular the solitonic string in the $D = 5$ theory is a very similar object to M5-brane. We hope that this simple model will be useful to test the recent ideas developed in string/M theory, such as normal bundle anomaly and AdS/CFT correspondence.

We thank T. Kawai and G. Schröder for helpful discussions. S. M. is grateful to International Center for Theoretical Physics and Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics for kind hospitality.

References

[1] E. Cremmer, in: "*Cambridge 1980, Proceedings, Superspace and Supergravity*", eds. S. W. Hawking and M. Roček (Cambridge University Press, 1981) 267.

[2] A.H. Chamseddine and H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. **96B** (1980) 89.

[3] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, Phys. Lett. **76B** (1978) 409.

[4] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, Nucl. Phys. **B159** (1979) 141.

[5] S. Mizoguchi, "E_{10} *Symmetry in One-dimensional Supergravity*" (revised), INS-Rep.-1191 (1997) [hep-th/9703160], to appear in Nucl. Phys. **B**.

[6] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, "*Isometries of Special Manifolds*", THU-95-13 (1995) [hep-th/9505097]. Presented at Meeting on Quaternionic Structures, Trieste, Italy, Sep 1994.

[7] M.J. Duff, J.T. Liu and R. Minasian, Nucl. Phys. **B452** (1995) 261.

[8] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B447** (1995) 261.

[9] E. Witten, J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 103.

[10] D. Freed, J.A. Harvey, R. Minasian and G. Moore, "*Gravitational Anomaly Cancellation for M Theory Five-branes*", YCTP-P5-98 (1998), [hep-th/9803205].

[11] A.C. Cadavid, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria and S. Ferrara, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 76.

[12] S. Ferrara, R.R. Khuri and R. Minasian, Phys. Lett. **B375** (1996) 81;
S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 323.

[13] C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B438** (1995) 109.

[14] H. Freudenthal, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. **A56** (Indagationes Math. **15**) (1953) 95-98 (French).

[15] R. Güven, Phys. Lett. **276B** (1992) 49.

[16] G.W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3754.

[17] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, Nucl. Phys. **B155** (1979) 381.

[18] P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison and G. Gibbons, Comm. Math. Phys. **120** (1988) 295.

[19] R. Argurio, F. Englert and L. Houart, Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 61.

[20] N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. **B403** (1997) 218.

[21] J. Hughes and J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 147.

[22] C.G. Callan, Jr., J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B367** (1991) 60.

[23] D.M. Kaplan and J. Michelson, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 3474.

[24] J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B449** (1995) 535, Erratum—ibid. **B458** (1996) 456.

[25] A. Dabholkar, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 307.

[26] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B234** (1984) 269.